

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,046	10/01/2003	Michael D. Facemire	RSW920030071US1 (7161-98U	7708
46320 7590 06/12/2007 CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP STEVEN M. GREENBERG 950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			POLLACK, MELVIN H	
SUITE 3020	LA CORPORATE CIRCLE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOCA RATOR	N, FL 33487		2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/677,046	FACEMIRE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melvin H. Pollack	2145			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONatute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 January 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/03.	Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application attached office action.			

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ben-Shaul et al. (6,976,090).
- 3. Ben-Shaul teaches a method and system (abstract) of providing content with annotated hyperlinks (col. 1, line 1 col. 20, line 65 and col. 66, lines 15-20) such that a hyperlink map is built to facilitate the deactivation and reactivation of hyperlinks, or to change the hyperlink to point to a different server (col. 20, line 65 col. 35, line 10; col. 41, line 10 col. 42, line 5) and wherein online changes are reconciled ((col. 37, line 30 col. 40, line 55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,046 Page 3

Art Unit: 2145

5. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ben-Shaul as applied to claims 1, 3, 6, 9, 11, and 14 above, and further in view of Maslov (6,842,755).

6. Ben-Shaul does not expressly disclose how to best develop the hyperlink map. Maslov teaches a method and system (abstract) of developing document trees based on page hierarchies (col. 1, line 1 – col. 8, line 5; col. 10, lines 10-35), wherein modifications based on depth and reconciliation of ambiguities are performed (col. 8, line 5 – col. 10, line 10).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. They regard further teachings on document trees and hyperlink modification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin H. Pollack whose telephone number is (571) 272-3887. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571) 272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,046

Art Unit: 2145

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melvin H Pollack Examiner Art Unit 2145

MHP 08 June 2007

MI H Poly